

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CAPITAL OUTLAY
BUDGET CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP)
COVER PAGE (REV 06/12)

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE
915 L Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
IMS Mail Code: A15

BUDGET YEAR 2016-17

ORG CODE: 0250 COBCP NO: 16-91-03 PRIORITY: 03 PROJECT ID: 0000111

DEPARTMENT: JUDICIAL BRANCH

PROJECT TITLE: SHASTA- NEW REDDING COURTHOUSE

TOTAL REQUEST (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS): \$ 135,204 MAJOR/MINOR: Major

PHASE(S) TO BE FUNDED: C PROJ CAT: CRI CCC/EPI: 5264/6055

SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL:

The Judicial Council requests \$135.204 million in appropriation from the Public Building Construction fund Sub-Account (Fund 0668) for the Construction phase for the Shasta—New Redding Courthouse. The project will provide a new 14-courtroom courthouse of approximately 165,296 building gross square feet (BGSF) in the City of Redding.

This project will consolidate court operations from three facilities and will relieve the current space shortfall, increase security, and replace inadequate and obsolete buildings in Shasta County. In addition, this project provides two courtrooms for new judgeships. The total revised project cost based upon the current schedule and updated to the July 2015 California Construction Cost Index is estimated at \$154.671 million, without financing. The total cost of the project, including financing, will be funded by Senate Bill (SB) 1407 (Ch. 311, Statutes of 2008) revenues.

HAS A BUDGET PACKAGE BEEN COMPLETED FOR THIS PROJECT? (E/U/N/?): Y

REQUIRES LEGISLATION (Y/N): N IF YES, LIST CODE SECTIONS: _____

REQUIRES PROVISIONAL LANGUAGE (Y/N): N

IMPACT ON SUPPORT BUDGET: ONE-TIME COSTS (Y/N): Y FUTURE COSTS (Y/N): Y

FUTURE SAVINGS (Y/N): N REVENUE (Y/N): N

DOES THE PROPOSAL AFFECT ANOTHER DEPARTMENT (Y/N): N IF YES, ATTACH COMMENTS OF AFFECTED DEPARTMENT SIGNED BY ITS DIRECTOR OR DESIGNEE.

SIGNATURE APPROVALS:

Newman/Stephens/Symons Dec. 18, 2015 William J. Guerin Dec. 18, 2015
PREPARED BY DATE REVIEWED BY DATE

Curt Soderlund Dec. 18, 2015 Martin Hoshino Dec. 18, 2015
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE DATE ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR DATE
OFFICER

DOF ANALYST USE

DOF ISSUE # _____ PROGRAM CAT: _____ PROJECT CAT: _____ BUDG PACK STATUS: _____
ADDED REVIEW: _____ SUPPORT: _____ OCIU: _____ FSCU/ITCU: _____ OSAE: _____ CALSTARS: _____
PPBA: Original Signed By: Andrea Scharffer DATE: 1-7-16

BUDGET YEAR 2016-17

ORG CODE: 0250 COBCP NO: 16-91-03 PRIORITY: 03 PROJECT ID: 0000111

A. PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT:

A.1. Project Background.

The proposed new courthouse will accomplish the following immediately needed improvements to the superior court and enhance its ability to serve the public:

- Replace the physically deficient court-occupied space in the Main Courthouse and Annex, Justice Center, and Juvenile Court; each of these is an unsafe, substandard, and overcrowded facility in poor condition;
- Create a modern, secure courthouse—to benefit all Shasta County residents—for centralized calendars and for the provision of basic services heretofore not provided to county residents due to space restrictions; and
- Expand court services by increasing the capacity for judicial proceedings from 12 to 14 to allow for one approved but unfunded AB 159 new judgeship and one from the last group of 50 unauthorized and unfunded judgeships.

The existing facilities have significant security problems, are overcrowded, have many physical problems and numerous deficiencies with Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) accessibility, which prevents the court from operating safe and efficient court facilities. The existing court facilities poorly serve the needs of the county and hinder the superior court’s ability to provide services to the county residents.

A.2. Facilities Replaced by this Project.

Three existing facilities are affected by this project, and will be vacated once the new court facility is complete. The existing facilities are listed in Table 1.

TABLE 1
Facilities Affected by Construction of New Courthouse

Facility	Location	Number of Existing Courtrooms Affected by this Project
Main Courthouse and Annex	1500 Court Street, Redding, CA	9
Justice Center	1655 West Street, Redding, CA	2
Juvenile Court	2680 Radio Lane, Redding, CA	1
Total		12

BUDGET YEAR 2016-17

ORG CODE: 0250 COBCP NO: 16-91-03 PRIORITY: 003 PROJECT ID: 0000111

A.3. Judicial Positions.

Current and projected Judicial Position Equivalents (JPEs)¹ determines the number of courtrooms needed for this project. The table below provides information used to determine the need for this project, which includes 12 existing JPEs, one new judgeship from Assembly Bill (AB) 159 (Ch. 722, Statutes of 2007)—which are authorized but unfunded and one new judgeship from the last group of 50 unauthorized and unfunded judgeships.

TABLE 2
Current JPEs (Including Proposed New Judgeships)

Location	Current JPEs	AB 159	Proposed Last 50	Basis for Proposed Project
New Redding Courthouse	12	1	1	14

In December 2014, the Judicial Council’s Workload Assessment Advisory Committee presented a report titled “2014 Update of Judicial Needs Assessment and Proposed Revision to Methodology Used to Prioritize New Judgeships” to the Judicial Council. This assessment was approved by the council and became effective December 11, 2014. The approved assessment includes allocation of the next 250 (of 270 total) needed new judgeships, which results in changes to the allocation used in scoping several of the current capital projects.

¹ JPEs are defined as the total authorized judicial positions adjusted for vacancies, assistance rendered by the court to other courts, and assistance received by the court from assigned judges, temporary judges, commissioners, and referees

BUDGET YEAR 2016-17

ORG CODE: 0250 COBCP NO: 16-91-03 PRIORITY: 003 PROJECT ID: 0000111

The Shasta Superior Court new judgeship allocation increases from two to three. The additional new judgeship is in the AB 159 group. The project is in the design development phase and currently includes court sets for the two originally planned new judgeships. The project is being designed with space that can be converted to courtrooms in the future. Because the project is completing the design development phase and adding a courtroom would cause schedule delay, the court and Court Facilities Advisory Committee decided not to include an additional courtroom for a new judgeship at this time. Space designed for future conversion into a courtroom will be renovated when the new judgeship is funded.

A.4. Funding Background.

The FY 2009-10 Budget Act appropriated \$6.996 million for the acquisition phase. In September 2010, the State Public Works Board approved, pursuant to Chapter 10, Statutes of 2009 (SB 12, 2X), an additional \$0.875 million for acquisition. In FY 2010-11 pursuant to Chapter 10, Statutes of 2009 (SB 12, 2X) \$6.394 million was appropriated for preliminary plans. On June 30, 2012, \$1.589 million of the \$6.996 million budget act appropriation reverted. The additional acquisition phase appropriation of \$0.875 million and the \$6.394 million preliminary plans appropriation reverted on June 30, 2012. The FY 2011-12 Budget Act appropriated \$9.055 million for working drawings and the funding reverted on June 30, 2012. In FY 2012-13, \$0.817 million in Acquisition Phase savings was returned to the ICNA. The start of the Preliminary Plans phase was deferred until FY 2014-15 due to a redirection of resources from the ICNA. In FY 2014-15 \$6.028 million was appropriated for Preliminary Plans. Working Drawings phase funding of \$8.675 million was appropriated in the FY 2015-16 Budget Act. Construction phase funding of \$0.174 million was also appropriated in the FY 2015-16 Budget Act for demolition of the existing structures located at the site of the new courthouse.

A.5. Continuation Phase Proposal.

This proposal requests approval to secure funding to begin the Construction phase of the project. The Site Acquisition phase was completed in June 2012. Preliminary Plans were delayed two years due to a redirection of resources from the ICNA. The Working Drawings phase is scheduled to begin in December 2015 and Construction is scheduled to begin in July 2017 once bonds have been sold.

BUDGET YEAR 2016-17

ORG CODE: 0250 COBCP NO: 16-91-03 PRIORITY: 003 PROJECT ID: 0000111

A.6. Construction Cost Reduction Subcommittee.

This project underwent a pre-design review by the Courthouse Cost Reduction Subcommittee (CCRS) in January 2014. The CCRS directed the project team to make changes to some program elements of the building. These changes were reflected in the FY 2014-15 COBCP in an update to the BGSF and cost estimate. The project was reviewed by the CCRS at the 100 percent schematic design phase in March 2015 and at the 50 percent design development phase in August 2015. The project will also be subject to a 100 percent design development review during the Preliminary Plans phase.

A.7. Summary of Budget Adjustments (in thousands).

The table below provides an overview of all project cost estimate adjustments included in this proposal, including escalation to the mid-point of construction, and correction to Acquisition phase estimate.

Phase (\$ in thousands)	Current Estimate	Revised Estimate	Net Change
Acquisition	\$ 4,590	\$ 4,590	\$ -
Preliminary Plans	\$ 6,028	\$ 6,028	\$ -
Working Drawings	\$ 8,675	\$ 8,675	\$ -
Construction	\$ 139,989	\$ 135,378	\$ (4,611)
Total Budget	\$ 159,282	\$ 154,671	\$ (4,611)

B. RELATIONSHIP TO THE STRATEGIC PLAN:

The Judicial Council, as the policymaking body for the judicial branch, has the following responsibilities and authorities with regard to court facilities, in addition to any other responsibilities or authorities established by law:

- Exercise full responsibility, jurisdiction, control, and authority as an owner would have over trial court facilities whose title is held by the state, including, but not limited to, the acquisition and development of facilities;
- Exercise the full range of policymaking authority over trial court facilities, including, but not limited to, planning, construction, acquisition, and operation, to the extent not expressly otherwise limited by law;

BUDGET YEAR 2016-17

ORG CODE: 0250 COBCP NO: 16-91-03 PRIORITY: 003 PROJECT ID: 0000111

- Establish policies, procedures, and guidelines for ensuring that the courts have adequate and sufficient facilities, including, but not limited to, facilities planning, acquisition, construction, design, operation, and maintenance;
- Allocate appropriated funds for court facilities maintenance and construction;
- Prepare funding requests for court facility construction, repair, and maintenance;
- Implement the design, bid, award, and construction of all court construction projects, except as delegated to others; and
- Provide for capital outlay projects that may be built with funds appropriated or otherwise available for these purposes according to an approved five-year and master plan for each court.

The provision of this capital outlay request is directly related to the Judicial Council's strategic plan Goal VI: "Branch-wide Infrastructure for Service Excellence." By providing the trial courts with the facilities required to carry out the Judiciary's constitutional functions, the proposed project immediately addresses this goal, but it would further all of the Council's goals. The proposed project supports the Judicial Council's commitment to Goal I: "Access, Fairness, and Diversity" and Goal IV: "Enhancing the Quality of Service and Justice" provided to the public.

C. ALTERNATIVES:

C.1. Approve the construction of a new courthouse.

Advantages:

- This option provides a new, modern, and secure courthouse replacing operations from the Main Courthouse and Annex, Justice Center, and Juvenile Court.
- This option enhances the court's ability to serve the public, centralize court services, and enhance court services.
- This project is ranked in the Immediate Need priority group in the Trial Court Five-Year Infrastructure Plan adopted by the Judicial Council in 2008, and consequently is one of the highest priority trial court capital-outlay projects for the judicial branch.

BUDGET YEAR 2016-17

ORG CODE: 0250 COBCP NO: 16-91-03 PRIORITY: 003 PROJECT ID: 0000111

C.2. Defer this project.

Advantages:

- This alternative has no advantages.

Disadvantages:

- This is an urgently needed project, delay will not allow the court to move from existing facilities that do not provide proper security, are overcrowded, and are in deteriorating physical condition. Delay of this project also eliminates the ability to consolidate existing court operations for enhanced public service and staff efficiency.

D. RECOMMENDED SOLUTION:

1. Which alternative and why?

The recommended option is Alternative 1: Approve the construction of a new courthouse. This option provides the best solution for the superior court and for the benefit of all county residents.

2. Detail scope description.

The proposed project includes the design and construction of a new Redding Courthouse for the Superior Court of California, County of Shasta. The project provides 14 courtrooms, replaces court operations in the Main Courthouse and Annex, Justice Center and Juvenile Court, including space for court administration, court clerk, court security operations and in-custody holding. The proposed new building will be approximately 165,296 BGSF. Based on a site program developed to accommodate the new facility, a two acre site was purchased in downtown Redding.

3. Basis for cost information.

Estimated total project costs are based on the updated space program and cost estimate.

BUDGET YEAR 2016-17

ORG CODE: 0250 COBCP NO: 16-91-03 PRIORITY: 003 PROJECT ID: 0000111

4. Factors/benefits for recommended solution other than the least expensive alternative.

The recommended alternative provides the best solution for the superior court and for the benefit of all county residents. It replaces physically deficient court facilities that are unsafe, substandard, and overcrowded. In addition it expands court services by increasing the capacity for judicial proceedings.

5. Complete description of impact on support budget.

Impact on the trial court and the Judicial Council's support budgets for FY 2016-2017 will not be material. It is anticipated that this project will impact the Judicial Council and the trial court support budgets in fiscal years beyond the current year as certain one-time costs, lease or financing payments for construction costs of the project, and ongoing costs are incurred. In the long term, a new facility will be more efficient to operate due to improved systems and use of space. This will result in lower operating costs if reviewed incrementally. Any existing operational cost savings identified as a result of the new facility will be considered for redirection to offset the ongoing facility operational costs of the new courthouse.

The county facility payments established pursuant to Government Code Section 70353 with the transfer of each county facility replaced by this project will be used to offset ongoing facilities operations and maintenance costs of the new facility.

6. Identify and explain any project risks.

Any construction project carries risk of increased scope due to discovery of unknown subsurface site conditions throughout the design and construction process that can alter the projected construction cost. These risks can be mitigated or minimized by concurrently developing a prioritized itemization of project features that can be reduced in scope, alternatively approached, or eliminated without affecting the building functionality. The list should be updated at the completion of each stage of the design process in connection with the preparation and review of the updated estimates. Some risk is inherent with transfer of real property from one entity to another, regarding schedule and ancillary appropriation timing for funds. Risk is always inherent in the construction and ownership of real property and improvements. Standard risk management procedures are used to control and/or delegate these risks.

BUDGET YEAR 2016-17

ORG CODE: 0250 COBCP NO: 16-91-03 PRIORITY: 003 PROJECT ID: 0000111

The risks associated with not developing a replacement court facility, as these facilities are going to transfer to the state, are equally compelling. Given the existing physical conditions, and practical limitations of improving the existing facilities, these facilities will generate liabilities for the state the longer they remain unaddressed.

7. List requested interdepartmental coordination and/or special project approval (including mandatory reviews and approvals, e.g. technology proposals).

Inter-agency cooperation will be required among state, county, and local jurisdictional authorities for successful completion of this new courthouse. The project was reviewed and approved by the Public Works Board at the site selection phase, the site acquisition phase, and the SPWB board will review and approve the preliminary plans phase. The working drawings will be reviewed by the State Fire Marshal for fire/life/safety, the Board of Community Corrections for compliance with corrections standards, and Division of State Architect for accessibility.

E. CONSISTENCY WITH GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 65041.1:

1. Does the recommended solution (project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure and how? Explain.

The recommended solution does not include the rehabilitation of existing infrastructure. Renovation of the existing courthouse was not feasible because the county will retain full ownership of this building and wishes to use the courthouse for county functions after the court vacates the building.

2. Does the project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources? Explain.

The new courthouse is being located on an infill parcel currently used for surface parking and includes several currently vacant structures in downtown Redding. Building on this downtown site protects environmental and agricultural resources in that the state has not acquired an existing green-field site for conversion to hardscape development.

BUDGET YEAR 2016-17

ORG CODE: 0250 COBCP NO: 16-91-03 PRIORITY: 003 PROJECT ID: 0000111

3. Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth? Explain

The Judicial Council, in conjunction with the Project Advisory Group, developed site selection criteria that addressed proximity to public transportation, availability of existing infrastructure, and proximity and relationship to other land uses and current development patterns. Future growth has been taken into consideration through the design of the building which includes two areas that can be converted into courtrooms in the future.

The Project Advisory Group consists of representatives from the local court, the county (including personnel from county administration, district attorney, public defender, sheriff, probation department, etc.), the city (including personnel from city management, planning, and redevelopment agency), the local community, and local Bar Association.



2 Shasta - New Redding Courthouse New Capital Outlay

Date Estimated: 7/9/2015

Prepared by: L. Stephens

Location: Shasta

Project ID: 0000111

CCCI (Cost Estimate Basis): 5264

Sep-09

Site - Building ID: 45-E1

CCCI (Basis for Adjustment): 6055

Jul-15

JCC Project Manager: P. Symons

Construction Start: 7/1/2017

JCC Planner: B. Newman

Construction End: 2/28/2020

Project Description:

New courthouse building to be occupied by the Superior Court of California, County of Shasta. The proposed project will be located on a new approximately two acre site in the City of Redding. The new courthouse with a basement is estimated to be approximately 165,296 building gross square feet (BGSF) in area with 14 courtrooms and secure parking spaces in the basement. CM@R is the project delivery method expected for this project.

11

12 Cost Estimate Unit Cost Quantity Cost Remarks

13

14 Construction Costs

15

16 Off Site Improvements 1 LS \$1,350,000

17

18 Site Development

19 Site Preparation \$10.00 /sf 149,365 sf \$1,493,650

20 Site Improvements include parking \$22.78 /sf 149,365 sf \$3,402,535

21 Surface Loading Area, Vehicle Sally Port N/A

22 Below Grade Loading/Service Area \$249.96 /sf 7,000 sf \$1,749,720

23 Site Utilities (Mechanical and Electrical) \$10.73 /sf 149,365 sf \$1,602,686

24 Demolition and Grading \$9.28 149,365 sf \$1,385,510

25

26

27 Parking

28 Surface Parking N/A

29 Secure Surface Parking N/A

30

31 Building Construction

32 Superstructure and Shell¹ \$160.61 /sf 165,296 sf \$26,548,191

33 Interiors \$91.91 /sf 165,296 sf \$15,192,355

34 Equipment and Vertical Transportation \$53.70 /sf 165,296 sf \$8,876,395

35 Mechanical and Electrical \$180.21 /sf 165,296 sf \$29,787,992

36 Insurance Savings² -\$1,827,781

37 Unallocated Reduction³ -\$1,827,781

38 Unallocated Reduction⁴ -\$9,138,903

39 Construction Cost Subtotal \$78,594,569

40

41 Miscellaneous Construction Costs

42 Furniture, Fixtures & Equipment \$43.00 /sf 165,296 sf \$7,107,728

43 Data, Communications & Security \$17.00 /sf 165,296 sf \$2,810,032

44

45 Miscellaneous Construction Cost Subtotal \$9,917,760

46

47 Estimated Total Current Construction Costs \$88,512,329

48

49 Adjust CCCI from 5264 to 6055 \$13,300,390

50 Market Conditions 39 months @ 0.00% \$0

51 Escalation to Start of Construction 24 months @ 0.42% \$10,262,722

52 Escalation to Midpoint 16 months @ 0.42% \$7,531,470

53 Contingency (Including Escalations) 5.00% \$5,980,346

54 Redirect Insurance Budget to "Other Project Costs"⁵ -\$2,556,598

55 Estimated Total Construction Cost \$123,030,659

56

57 Footnotes:

58 1) Building gross square footage (BGSF) reduced from 173,351 to 165,296 per holding cell metric adopted by CCRS December 2013. BGSF includes square footage for central holding in two additional courtrooms per January 2014 CCRS direction.

59 2) Insurance savings: per Dec. 2011 Judicial Council direction, reduce construction hard costs budget by 2% of FY 11-12 Construction Cost Budget.

60 3) Unallocated Reduction: per Dec. 2011 Judicial Council direction, reduce construction hard costs budget by 2% of FY 11-12 Construction Cost budget.

61 4) Unallocated Reduction: per April 2012 Judicial Council direction, reduce construction hard costs budget by 10% of FY 11-12 Construction Cost budget.

62 5) Insurance budget, estimated at 2.25 percent for Owner Controlled Insurance Program "OCIP" moved to "Other Project Costs", estimated cost based upon Construction Cost Subtotal, FF&E, Data, Comm. & Security, CCCI, and escalation.



2 Shasta - New Redding Courthouse

New Capital Outlay

Date Estimated: 7/9/2015

Prepared by: L. Stephens

Location: Shasta

CCCI (Cost Estimate Basis): 5264

Sep-09

Project ID: 0000111

CCCI (Basis for Adjustment): 6055

Jul-15

Site - Building ID: 45-E1

Construction Start: 7/1/2017

JCC Project Manager: P. Symons

Construction End: 2/28/2020

Estimated Project Cost by Phase (\$ 000's)	Study (S)	Acquisition (A)	Preliminary Plans (P)	Working Drawings (W)	Construction ¹ (Demolition) (C)	Construction (C)	Totals
Construction Costs							
Construction Costs (see prior page for detail)					\$143	\$88,369	\$88,512
Adjust CCCI						\$13,300	\$13,300
Market Conditions						\$0	\$0
Escalation to Start of Construction						\$10,263	\$10,263
Escalation to Midpoint						\$7,531	\$7,531
Contingency					\$6	\$5,974	\$5,980
Redirect Insurance Budget to "Other Project Costs" ²						-\$2,557	-\$2,557
Construction Costs Subtotal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$149	\$122,882	\$123,031
Architectural and Engineering							
A&E Design Services		\$516	\$4,116	\$4,821	\$8	\$2,344	\$11,805
Construction Inspection						\$0	\$0
Bid Advertising, Printing and Mailing				\$75			\$75
A&E Fees Subtotal	\$0	\$516	\$4,116	\$4,896	\$8	\$2,344	\$11,880
Site Acquisition							
Purchase Price		\$3,003					\$3,003
Site Acquisition Subtotal	\$0	\$3,003	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$3,003
Other Project Costs							
Special Consultants		\$0	\$294	\$367	\$17	\$512	\$1,190
Geotechnical Services & Land Surveying		\$0	\$360	\$147		\$392	\$899
Program and Project Management		\$82	\$500	\$1,225		\$1,715	\$3,522
Materials Testing Laboratory		\$0				\$490	\$490
Inspection Services						\$980	\$980
Commissioning			\$75	\$294		\$294	\$663
Construction Management		\$0	\$367	\$551		\$1,960	\$2,878
CEQA/Due Diligence/Mitigation Documentation Invest.		\$212	\$243	\$39		\$0	\$494
Property / Title / Escrow Appraisals		\$342					\$342
Site Acquisition Relocation Benefits		\$334					\$334
Legal Services		\$0					\$0
Peer Review				\$245			\$245
Constructibility/Value Review				\$0			\$0
Minimum Code Review				\$265			\$265
Moving and Relocation Expenses							\$0
Plan Checking			\$72	\$646		\$127	\$845
Post-Occupancy Evaluation						\$216	\$216
Utility Connections Fees/OCIP/Other ²		\$101				\$3,292	\$3,393
Other Project Costs Subtotal	\$0	\$1,071	\$1,911	\$3,779	\$17	\$9,978	\$16,756
							\$0
A&E Fees plus Other Project Costs Subtotal	\$0	\$4,590	\$6,028	\$8,675	\$25	\$12,322	\$31,640
							\$0
Total Estimated Project Costs	\$0	\$4,590	\$6,028	\$8,675	\$174	\$135,204	\$154,671
Less Funds Transferred		-\$4,590	-\$6,028				-\$10,618
Less Funds Available not Transferred							\$0
Carryover							
Balance of Funds Required		\$0	\$0	\$8,675	\$174	\$135,204	\$144,053

Footnotes:

1) Budget for demolition of two existing buildings on the construction site includes associated costs for A&E, Hazmat Survey, Contingency, and potential cost for Hazmat Abatement.

2) OCIP Budget added to "Other Project Costs" (\$2,557m).



Shasta - New Redding Courthouse

Date Estimated: 7/9/2015
Prepared by: L. Stephens
Estimate CCCI: 5264
Projected CCCI: 6055
Construction Start: 7/1/2017
Construction End: 2/28/2020

Location: Shasta
Project ID: 0000111
Site - Building ID: 45-E1
JCC Project Manager: P. Symons

This form provides a chronological history of the project. The initial column reflects the detail related to implementing the Budget Act or authorizing legislation. Subsequent columns reflect all reportable project phases listed in the schedule section

Requested Action:	A CCCI 5142	A, P CCCI 5270	W CCCI 5394	A CCCI 5394	P CCCI 5804	W/C (Demo) CCCI 5959	C CCCI 6055
PWB/DOF Action Date:	7/1/2009	7/1/2010	7/1/2011	7/1/2012	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	7/1/2016
COSTS -Display new totals for each category.							
Study							
Acquisition	\$ 6,996	\$ 7,871	\$ 7,871	\$ 7,871	\$ 4,589	\$ 4,590	\$ 4,590
Preliminary Plans	\$ 4,585	\$ 6,394	\$ 6,394	\$ 6,394	\$ 6,028	\$ 6,028	\$ 6,028
Working Drawings	\$ 7,680	\$ 9,055	\$ 9,055	\$ 9,055	\$ 8,675	\$ 8,675	\$ 8,675
Total Construction	\$ 192,518	\$ 145,097	\$ 147,278	\$ 147,278	\$ 136,467	\$ 139,989	\$ 135,378
Equipment Phase (Group 2)							
Other							
Total Project Costs	\$ 211,779	\$ 168,417	\$ 170,598	\$ 170,598	\$ 155,759	\$ 159,282	\$ 154,671
Construction Detail - Must tie to total construction costs above.							
Contract	\$ 168,572	\$ 129,332	\$ 130,941	\$ 130,941	\$ 118,066	\$ 121,349	\$ 117,051
Contingency	\$ 8,429	\$ 5,975	\$ 6,547	\$ 6,547	\$ 6,032	\$ 6,200	\$ 5,980
A&E Costs	\$ 2,330	\$ 2,352	\$ 2,352	\$ 2,352	\$ 2,352	\$ 2,352	\$ 2,352
Group 2 Equipment	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Agency Retained	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Other	\$ 13,187	\$ 7,438	\$ 7,438	\$ 7,438	\$ 10,017	\$ 10,088	\$ 9,995
Total Construction	\$ 192,518	\$ 145,097	\$ 147,278	\$ 147,278	\$ 136,467	\$ 139,989	\$ 135,378
FUNDING DATA - Include all funding provided. For reversions and augmentations indicate EO number.							
Chapter / Item	Must tie to Total Project Cost. above						
	Phase	Amount	Transferred	Net	Comments		
1-09-0250-301-3138 (8)	A	\$ 6,996	\$ 5,407	\$ 1,589			
10-09-0250-801-3138	A	\$ 875		\$ 875			
10-09-0250-801-3138	A	\$ (875)		\$ (875)	Unused Cont. Approp.		
1-09-0250-301-3138 (8)	A	\$ (1,589)	\$ -	\$ (1,589)	Approp. Savings Reverted		
1-09-0250-301-3138 (8)	A	\$ (817)	\$ (817)	\$ -	Trsf Reverted back to Approp.		
10-09-0250-801-3138	P	\$ 6,394	\$ -	\$ 6,394			
10-09-0250-801-3138	P	\$ (6,394)	\$ -	\$ (6,394)	Approp. Savings Reverted		
25-14-0250-301-3138 (9)	P	\$ 6,028	\$ 6,028	\$ -			
33-11-0250-301-3138 (14)	W	\$ 9,055	\$ -	\$ 9,055			
33-11-0250-301-3138 (14)	W	\$ (9,055)	\$ -	\$ (9,055)	Approp. Savings Reverted		
10-15-0250-301-3138 (3)	W	\$ 8,675	\$ -	\$ 8,675			
10-15-0250-301-3138 (3)	C	\$ 174	\$ -	\$ 174	Demolition of existing structures on site		
TBD-16-0250-301-0668	C	\$ 135,204	\$ -	\$ 135,204			
Total Funding		\$ 154,671	\$ 10,618	\$ 144,053			
SCHEDULE - Include all project dates (MM/DD/YYYY).							
Study Completion	7/1/2008	7/1/2009	7/1/2009	7/1/2009	7/1/2009	7/1/2009	7/1/2009
Acquisition Completion	7/1/2011	6/1/2011	7/11/2011	5/1/2012	6/30/2012	6/30/2012	6/30/2012
Start Preliminary Plans	8/23/2011	1/1/2011	7/12/2011	3/1/2012	7/1/2014	7/1/2014	7/1/2014
Preliminary Plan Approval	2/10/2012	6/14/2012	1/10/2012	2/1/2013	6/30/2015	9/22/2015	12/11/2015
Approval to Proceed to Bid	9/7/2012	3/1/2013	8/8/2012	4/1/2014	7/1/2016	11/1/2016	11/1/2016
Contract Award Approval	6/15/2012	6/24/2013	12/21/2012	8/4/2014	11/30/2016	8/1/2017	7/1/2017
Project Completion	10/2/2014	6/19/2015	12/21/2014	8/4/2016	1/30/2019	3/30/2020	2/28/2020
COST INCREASE / DECREASE							
Acquisition					\$ (3,282)	\$ 1	
Preliminary Plans					\$ (366)		
Working Drawings					\$ (380)		
Construction		\$ (18,489)			\$ (19,741)		\$ 93
CCCI		\$ 112	\$ 2,490		\$ 6,470	\$ 2,606	\$ 1,614
Escalation		\$ (8,931)	\$ (881)		\$ 396	\$ 677	\$ (6,005)
Contingency		\$ (2,454)	\$ 572		\$ (515)	\$ 168	\$ (220)
Other		\$ (13,600)			\$ 2,579	\$ 71	\$ (93)
Total Increase		\$ (43,362)	\$ 2,181	\$ -	\$ (14,839)	\$ 3,523	\$ (4,611)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA			Budget Year 2016-17						
CAPITAL OUTLAY BUDGET CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP)			Proj ID: 0000111						
FISCAL IMPACT WORKSHEET			BU/Entity: 0250						
Department Title:		Judicial Branch	Program ID: 0165						
Project Title:		Shasta County - New Redding Courthouse	COBCP #: 16-91-03						
Program Category:		CRI	Priority: 03						
Program Subcategory:			MA/Mt: MA						
			Existing Authority	January 10 Action	April 1 Action	May 1 Action	May 14 Action	Special Action	Project Total
FUNDING									
bu-ref-fund-eny-year	ph	action							
0250-301-3138-09-09	A	BA	6,996						6,996
0250-801-3138-09-10	A	CA	875						875
0250-301-3138-09-09	A	BA	-2,539						-2,539
0250-301-3138-12-12	A	BA	2,539						2,539
0250-801-3138-09-10	A	CA	-875						-875
0250-301-3138-12-12	A	BA	875						875
0250-301-3138-09-09	A	BA	-3,281						-3,281
0250-801-3138-09-10	P	CA	6,394						6,394
0250-801-3138-09-10	P	CA	-6,394						-6,394
0250-301-3138-14-14	P	BA	6,028						6,028
0250-301-3138-11-11	W	BA	9,055						9,055
0250-301-3138-11-11	W	BA	-9,055						-9,055
0250-301-3138-15-15	W	BA	8,675						8,675
0250-301-3138-15-15	C	BA	174						174
0250-301-0668-16-16	C	BA		135,204					135,204
TOTAL FUNDING			19,467	135,204	0	0	0	0	154,671
PROJECT COSTS									
Study									0
Acquisition			4,590						4,590
Performance Criteria									0
Preliminary Plans			6,028						6,028
Working Drawings			8,675						8,675
Total Construction or Design-Build			139,989	-4,611	0	0	0	0	135,378
Equipment (Group 2)									0
TOTAL COSTS			159,282	-4,611	0	0	0	0	154,671
CONSTRUCTION OR DESIGN-BUILD DETAIL									
Contract			121,349	-4,298					117,051
Contingency			6,200	-220					5,980
A&E			2,352						2,352
Agency Retained									0
Other			10,088	-93					9,995
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION OR DESIGN-BUILD			139,989	-4,611	0	0	0	0	135,378
FUTURE FUNDING			139,815	-139,815	0	0	0	0	0
SCHEDULE									
Study Completion			7/1/2009						
Acquisition Approval			6/30/2012						
Start Preliminary Plans/Performance Criteria			7/1/2014						
Preliminary Plan/Performance Criteria Approval			12/11/2015						
Approval to Proceed to Bid			11/1/2016						
Contract Award Approval			7/1/2017						
Project Completion			2/28/2020						
PROJECT SPECIFIC CODES									
Proj Mgmt:	D		Location:	State of California					
Budg Pack:	Yes		County:	Shasta					
Proj Cat:	CRI		City:	Redding					
Req Legis:	No		Cong Dist:	2					
Req Prov:	No		Sen Dist:	4					
SO/LA Imp:	SO/LA		Assm Dist:	2					

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CAPITAL OUTLAY BUDGET CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP)
FISCAL DETAIL WORKSHEET

Budget Year 2016-17

Proj ID: 0000111

Department Title: Judicial Branch
 Project Title: Shasta County - New Redding Courthouse
 Program Category: CRI
 Program Subcategory:

BU/Entity:	0250
Program ID	0165
COBCP #:	16-91-03
Priority:	03
MAMI:	MA

Identify all items which fit into the categories listed below. Attach a detailed list if funding is included in this request. Provide descriptions and summary estimates for items for which you plan to request funding in the future. When possible, identify funding needs by fiscal year (BY+1 through BY+4).

PROJECT RELATED COSTS	COST	TOTAL
AGENCY RETAINED:		
TOTAL AGENCY RETAINED		0
GROUP 2 EQUIPMENT		
TOTAL GROUP2 EQUIPMENT		0
IMPACT ON SUPPORT BUDGET	COST	TOTAL
ONE-TIME COSTS		
Estimated for furniture, fixtures, equipment and security (court expense)	TBD	
Estimated Moving Costs (court expense)	TBD	
TOTAL SUPPORT ONE-TIME COSTS		0
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTURE COSTS		
Estimated Janitorial and Security Costs (Court)	TBD	
Estimated O&M (including Utilities) , less County Facilities Payment offset	1,277	
Estimated AV, IT, Other (court expense)	TBD	
TOTAL SUPPORT ANNUAL COSTS		1,277
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTURE SAVINGS		
TOTAL SUPPORT ANNUAL SAVINGS		0
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTURE REVENUE		
TOTAL SUPPORT ANNUAL REVENUE		0

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Budget Year 2016-17

CAPITAL OUTLAY BUDGET CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP)

Proj ID: 0000111

SCOPE/ASSUMPTIONS WORKSHEET

Department Title:	Judicial Branch	BU/Entity:	0250
Project Title:	Shasta County - New Redding Courthouse	Program ID	0165
Program Category:	CRI	COBCP #:	16-91-03
Program Subcategory:		Priority:	03
		MA/MI:	MA

Project Specific Proposals: For new projects provide proposed Scope language. For continuing projects provide the latest approved Scope language. Enter Scope language in cell A110.

Conceptual Proposals: Provide a brief discussion of proposal defining assumptions supporting the level of funding proposed by fiscal year in relation to outstanding need identified for that fiscal year. (Also include scope descriptions for BY+1 through BY+4 in cell A110).

New courthouse building to be occupied by the Superior Court of California, County of Shasta. The proposed project will be located in Redding. The new courthouse is estimated to be approximately 165,296 building gross square feet (BGSF) in area with 14 courtrooms.