

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Budget Change Proposal - Cover Sheet
 DF-46 (REV 08/15)

Fiscal Year 2016-17	Business Unit	Department Natural Resources Agency	Priority No.
Budget Request Name River Parkways, Urban Greening and Urban Streams		Program	Subprogram

Budget Request Description
 River Parkways, Urban Greening and Urban Streams

Budget Request Summary
 This request would appropriate the remaining funds for the River Parkways, Urban Greening and Urban Streams programs – a total of \$5.648 million. In addition, it would extend funding - \$140,000 - and authority for a position for 5 years to manage the grants associated with these programs.

Requires Legislation <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Code Section(s) to be Added/Amended/Repealed	
Does this BCP contain information technology (IT) components? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <i>If yes, departmental Chief Information Officer must sign.</i>	Department CIO	Date

For IT requests, specify the date a Special Project Report (SPR) or Feasibility Study Report (FSR) was approved by the Department of Technology, or previously by the Department of Finance.
 FSR SPR Project No. Date:

If proposal affects another department, does other department concur with proposal? Yes No
Attach comments of affected department, signed and dated by the department director or designee.

Prepared By Bryan Cash	Date 1/4/16	Reviewed By	Date
Department Director	Date	Agency Secretary 	Date 1/4/16

Department of Finance Use Only

Additional Review: Capital Outlay ITCU FSCU OSAE CALSTARS Dept. of Technology

BCP Type: Policy Workload Budget per Government Code 13308.05

PPBA	Original Signed by Amanda Martin	Date submitted to the Legislature 1-8-16
------	--	---

A. Budget Request Summary

This request would appropriate the remaining funds from the following:

- \$2 million in Proposition 50 for River Parkways projects.
- \$1.458 million in Proposition 84 local assistance funds for projects to be funded under the River Parkways and Urban Greening Program.
- \$1.2 million in Proposition 40 for River Parkways projects.
- \$790,000 in Proposition 40 for Urban Streams projects.
- \$200,000 in Proposition 50 for Sierra Nevada Cascade projects.

These funds are available because of savings from administrative costs, projects that fell through or projects that came in under budget.

In addition, this request would extend a position and funding for that position for 5 more years to manage the grants associated with these programs. Funding would come from the respective allocations from Proposition 84 and Proposition 40.

B. Background/History

Grants awarded through Agency programs are generally for multi-benefit projects that include: river restoration, flood protection, trails, natural resources acquisitions, parks or green streets. Grants administrators at the Natural Resources Agency have historically maintained a workload of ~25 grants. However, with the transfer of the annual Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Program to the Agency, two new programs coming on line in the 2015/16 fiscal year, and with new grants coming through these additional funds, the number of grants managed will climb to ~45-50 per administrator. Given this increase, we feel that the requested extension of the grants administrator position in this proposal is justified.

Workload History

Workload Measure	PY - 4	PY - 3	PY - 2	PY - 1	PY	CY
Approx. grants per administrator	25	28	32	35	38	40

C. State Level Considerations

This program provides funding for projects that help fulfill part of the Natural Resources Agency's mission "to restore, protect and manage the state's natural ...resources."

D. Justification

Agency is in a current solicitation for River Parkways projects that will be awarded by the beginning of the 2016/17 fiscal year. For efficiency reasons, these additional funds would be awarded with that cycle. The requested Urban Greening funds would be awarded to the already approved substitution list from the last solicitation.

In addition, this request would allow current projects to proceed to completion with sufficient project management and monitoring funded by Propositions 40 and 84. Without this extension, Agency would not have sufficient funding or staffing to continue supporting these programs. Given that workload has greatly increased in the last five fiscal years, Agency feels that this extension request is justified.

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Budget Change Proposal - Cover Sheet**

DF-46 (REV 08/15)

Finally, Proposition 84 provides for a maximum of 5% from each allocation for administration of the program. While Proposition 40 does not have the same limits on administrative costs, Agency has used this same percentage for this bond measure. Through savings in previous fiscal years, the Proposition 84 and Proposition 40 River Parkways allocations have sufficient balances to cover this request.

E. Outcomes and Accountability

This funding will support on-going grant programs for which grant guidelines have been publicly developed and implemented. Grant administration processes and procedures are already in place.

In addition, as with other bond programs bi-annual updates are provided to the Department of Finance and the program is subject to an annual audit by the Office of State Audits and Evaluations.

Projected Outcomes

Workload Measure	CY	BY	BY+1	BY+2	BY+3	BY+4
Approx. grants per administrator	40	40	44	48	44	40

F. Analysis of All Feasible Alternatives

Alternative 1: Fund \$5.648 million for these grants from an alternative source.

Pros

- This would allow the position to continue to provide appropriate oversight and monitoring of projects.
- Funds that would have been used for support would be available for new projects.

Cons

- Bonds are meant to be self-sustaining and this approach would take funding from another source.

Alternative 2: Approve the funding and position extension as requested.

Pros

- This would allow the positions to continue to provide appropriate oversight and monitoring of projects.
- This approach would not draw on a separate funding source and would allow this bond program to be self-sustaining.

Cons

- General Fund will be expended on bond repayment.
- The support funds in this request will not be available for new projects.

G. Implementation Plan

This position is currently filled and would continue administering and monitoring grants from the River Parkways Program.

H. Recommendation

Alternative 2 is recommended. This will allow the position to continue providing oversight and monitoring of projects and would allow the project funds to be awarded to worthwhile projects. We would recommend including the following language in each item that will fund projects to allow sufficient time to expend the funds on these efforts:

The funds appropriated in this item are available for expenditure and encumbrance until June 30, 2019, for support or local assistance.

BCP Fiscal Detail Sheet

BCP Title: River Parkways, Urban Greening, and Urban Streams

DP Name: 0540-001-BCP-DP-2016-GB

Budget Request Summary

	FY16					
	CY	BY	BY+1	BY+2	BY+3	BY+4
Positions - Permanent	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Positions	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Salaries and Wages						
Earnings - Permanent	0	75	75	75	75	75
Total Salaries and Wages	\$0	\$75	\$75	\$75	\$75	\$75
Total Staff Benefits	0	35	35	35	35	35
Total Personal Services	\$0	\$110	\$110	\$110	\$110	\$110
Operating Expenses and Equipment						
5301 - General Expense	0	2	2	2	2	2
5302 - Printing	0	4	4	4	4	4
5304 - Communications	0	2	2	2	2	2
5306 - Postage	0	1	1	1	1	1
5320 - Travel: In-State	0	11	11	11	11	11
5324 - Facilities Operation	0	10	10	10	10	10
54XX - Special Items of Expense	0	5,648	3,448	3,448	3,448	3,448
Total Operating Expenses and Equipment	\$0	\$5,678	\$3,478	\$3,478	\$3,478	\$3,478
Total Budget Request	\$0	\$5,788	\$3,588	\$3,588	\$3,588	\$3,588

Fund Summary

Fund Source - State Operations						
California Clean Water, Clean Air, Safe						
6029 - Neighborhood Parks, and Coastal Protection Fund	0	54	54	54	54	54
Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality						
6051 - and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Fund of 2006	0	86	86	86	86	86
Total State Operations Expenditures	\$0	\$140	\$140	\$140	\$140	\$140
Fund Source - Local Assistance						
California Clean Water, Clean Air, Safe						
6029 - Neighborhood Parks, and Coastal Protection Fund	0	1,990	1,990	1,990	1,990	1,990
Water Security, Clean Drinking Water,						
6031 - Coastal and Beach Protection Fund of 2002	0	2,200	0	0	0	0

6051 - Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Fund of 2006	0	1,458	1,458	1,458	1,458	1,458
Total Local Assistance Expenditures	\$0	\$5,648	\$3,448	\$3,448	\$3,448	\$3,448
Total All Funds	\$0	\$5,788	\$3,588	\$3,588	\$3,588	\$3,588

Program Summary

Program Funding

0320 - Administration of Natural Resources Agency	0	5,788	3,588	3,588	3,588	3,588
Total All Programs	\$0	\$5,788	\$3,588	\$3,588	\$3,588	\$3,588

